UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,220	02/14/2006	Young Jin Doh	9988.300.00	6259
	7590 06/26/200 DNG & ALDRIDG E L	EXAMINER		
1900 K STREE	T, NW	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/568,220	DOH, YOUNG JIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		

		Stephen M. Gravini	3/43					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. ⊠ The app app for	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on plication, applicant must timely file one of the following polication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Criods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.				
have beer under 37 (set forth ir may reduc	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later ce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of chortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter tice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
(a)	ne proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, backer a final rejection, backer a final rejection, backer a final rejection, backer after leading the properties are properties. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belower)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec		ne issues for				
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
⊿ □ ть	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 are amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amandmant (DTOL 224\				
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant Amendment (i	PTOL-324).				
6. 🔲 Ne	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	·	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
hov The Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\begin{align*} \begin{align*} w \text{ the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: \text{aim(s) allowed:} \text{aim(s) objected to:} \text{aim(s) rejected: } \(\frac{1-4 \text{ and } 6-15}{1-4 \text{ and } 6-15} \). \text{aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:} \end{align*}		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDA\	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing tered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
	he affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
<u>s</u>	he request for reconsideration has been considered but ee Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (ther:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
		/Stephen M. Gravini/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3743					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims have been amended such that the scope of the claimed invention has significantly changed after a final rejection that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The enablement rejection is withdrawn based on applicants' arguments. The obviousness rejection is maintained because the claims have been amended after final Office action and only the claims considered prior to the final action are considered. Te prior art still teaches the invention as claimed and the now claimed "formed at the securing member," "inserted" features and "convex toward" protrusion would require re-opening prosecution in order to determine patentability.